

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,201	12/06/2000	Hans Hallstrom	AN06182/3152	5088
75	590 01/29/2003			
Lainie E Parker			EXAMINER	
Akzo Nobel Inc 7 Livingstone Avenue			CHIN, PETER	
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-3408				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	11
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\Lambda I \chi$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/674,201	HALLSTROM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter Chin	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Moute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u>	2 November 2002 .	:			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-47,52,53 and 55</u> is/are pending	in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46,47,52,53 and 55</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a)  The translation of the foreign language p		1			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/674,201

Art Unit: 1731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 46,47,52,53 and 55 are allowed over the prior art for reasons stated in pages 9 and 10 of the remarks in the amendment filed November 12, 2002, Paper No. 10.
- 2. Claims 26-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flesher et al (4,702,844), Wong Shing et al (6,059,930 or 6,071,379), or Baron et al (4,894,119) in view of Nagarajan et al (6,007,679) or Langley et al (4,913,775) and Cauley et al (5,514,249).

The claims are rejected for the reasons given in the previous Office Action, Paper No.9.

 Applicant's arguments have been considered but are deemed unpersuasive of patentability.

It is urged that Flesher et al recommends that anionic polymer and cationic starch top be added to the pulp slurry and thus teaches away from the claimed invention. First of all, Flesher et al is not limited to this teaching, it is just one suggestion. In view of superior flocculating property of the cationic polymer, one of ordinary skill in the art would use it as the cationic polymer in retention systems or protocols well known to be very effective for retention and/or drainage such as those disclosed by Nagarajan et al and Langley et al with expectation that the results would be further improved due to the superior performance of the Flesher et al cationic polymer flocculant.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,201

Art Unit: 1731

Similarly, it is urged that Wong Shing et al does not require the use of other components such as those claimed to achieve better retention and/or drainage. Wong Shing et al is not limited use of their polymer as the sole retention /drainage aid. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use the Wong Shing et al cationic polymer in retention systems or protocols well known to be very effective for retention and/or drainage as exemplified by Nagarajan et al and Langley et al with the expectation that retention and drainage would be further improved due to the superior performance of Wong Shing et al's cationic polymer.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Chin whose telephone number is (703) 308-2046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,201

Art Unit: 1731

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Peter Chin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1731